

Law and the Public's Health

This *Law and the Public's Health* column examines the current state of the law governing immunization of the health-care workforce, with a particular focus on state law developments.

Sara Rosenbaum, JD
The George Washington University School of Public Health and Health Services
Department of Health Policy, Washington, DC

USING STATE LAWS TO VACCINATE THE HEALTH-CARE WORKFORCE

ALEXANDRA M. STEWART, JD

Mandatory influenza vaccination of health-care workers (HCWs) has been widely studied and debated. State legislators are beginning to use their authority to enact laws requiring HCW vaccination as an infection-control measure. This installment of *Law and the Public's Health* reviews the role of state law in promoting influenza vaccine uptake among the health-care workforce.

BACKGROUND

Influenza outbreaks in health-care settings, attributed to the unvaccinated workforce, have been well described and documented.¹ During an average influenza season, 23% of HCWs are infected with the influenza virus, show mild symptoms, and continue to work despite being infectious.² Those with serological evidence of infection do not consistently recall their illness and may continue to work while infectious.³ These outbreaks have contributed to patient complications or death and increased economic costs to the health-care system.^{4,5} Between 3% and 50% of exposed patients can be infected, resulting in median mortality that ranges from 16% in a general ward to 33%–60% in a transplant setting.³

Since 1981, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has recommended that all HCWs receive an annual influenza vaccination.⁶ Additionally, Healthy People objectives have set a coverage rate goal of 90% by 2020.⁷

VOLUNTARY STRATEGIES TO INCREASE VACCINATION AMONG HCWs

To encourage increased influenza vaccination among HCWs, health-care facilities have employed educational and promotional campaigns,^{8–10} increased access to

the vaccine,^{11–15} allowed workers to submit declination statements,^{16–18} and implemented combination programs that use several complementary approaches to increase uptake.^{10,19,20}

Despite attempts to encourage voluntary vaccination, coverage rates between 2004 and 2008 were approximately 40%,^{21–29} while rates during the 2009–2011 influenza seasons increased to approximately 64%.³⁰ However, overall take-up rates among this population remain below the 90% goal.

HCWs have several reasons for refusing the vaccine. Among the most frequently cited are fear of adverse effects, the belief that the vaccine can cause influenza, and the belief that the risk for contracting the disease is low. Some HCWs believe that the vaccine will not prevent influenza, while others continue to express a fear of needles.^{31,32} Because coverage rates remain low and vaccine myths persist, additional measures are required to ensure that HCWs are vaccinated, patients are protected, and national coverage goals are achieved.

MANDATORY INFLUENZA VACCINATION POLICIES IN HEALTH-CARE FACILITIES

In September 2004, Virginia Mason Hospital in Seattle, Washington, became the first facility to implement a mandatory seasonal influenza vaccination policy for its workforce. Today, approximately 300 facilities throughout the country have implemented similar measures (Personal communication, Dr. Mark Grabowsky, Deputy Director, National Vaccine Program Office, August 2011).³³ Mandatory programs have proven successful, and facilities have realized a record uptake among HCWs, with several health systems reaching up to 99.3% coverage.^{34–38}

However, policies developed at the facility level are necessarily limited in scope. With approximately 600,000 health-care-related employers in the U.S., the use of mandatory policies in 300 facilities is insufficient. It is more efficient to implement mandatory programs at the state level.³⁹

STATE LAW AND INFLUENZA VACCINATION OF HCWs

Similar to childcare and school entry vaccination requirements, state laws convert influenza vaccination recommendations for HCWs into legal obligations. As of June 2011, 19 states (Alabama, Arkansas, California, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Virginia) and the District of Columbia have enacted laws that require health-care employers to develop and implement influenza vaccination programs for identified categories of HCWs.

A comprehensive law incorporates six essential components of a mandatory HCW influenza vaccination program, including (1) a broad definition of the affected HCW, (2) a broad definition of the affected employer, (3) identification of employer obligations, (4) identification of HCW obligations, (5) an identified exemption policy, and (6) an identified standard of care.

Defining the affected HCW

People who have either direct or indirect exposure to patients can transmit the influenza virus. Consequently, a comprehensive law should incorporate a broad definition of HCWs. Those required to receive the vaccine should include paid or unpaid employees, staff, contractors, clinicians, volunteers, students, trainees, clergy, home health-care providers, dietary and housekeeping staff, and others whose occupational activities involve direct or indirect contact with patients or contaminated material in health-care, home health-care, or clinical laboratory settings.

However, not all 20 jurisdictions have adopted a broad definition of HCWs. For example, if a state references only "employees," other individuals who have direct or indirect contact with patients will not be required to comply with the mandate. This limitation may affect the total number of HCWs who will have ensured access to the vaccine.

Defining the affected employer

A comprehensive mandatory vaccination law should include a broad range of settings in which mandatory programs must be established. These settings may include acute care hospitals, nursing homes, skilled nursing facilities, physician's offices, urgent care centers, outpatient clinics, home health care, emergency medical services, schools, rehabilitation centers, chronic care facilities, long-term care facilities, assisted-living facilities, specialty care assisted-living facilities,

comprehensive care facilities, extended care facilities, and adult day care facilities.

While state laws define the health-care employers governed by the law, several states do not incorporate all categories of employers that manage HCWs. If a law fails to define employers broadly, opportunities to vaccinate HCWs affiliated with excluded organizations will be limited.

Defining employer obligations

A comprehensive mandatory vaccination law should outline employer activities that have proven to be effective at increasing vaccine uptake among HCWs. Laws should require employers to provide the vaccine, specify the appropriate timing of the vaccination, educate HCWs about the program and the vaccine, ensure that HCWs are not required to pay for the vaccination, document the immunization status of HCWs, report vaccination rates to appropriate public health authorities, and manage HCW noncompliance.

Operating a program with well-defined employer duties will ensure that workers will not miss an opportunity to be vaccinated due to misinformation about the vaccine, inconvenient administration locations and times, or an inability to pay for the service. Proper recordkeeping will also ensure that the employer is aware of all workers who have not complied with the requirement so that proper action may be taken to reduce disease transmission.

Those states that require employers to provide free vaccination to HCWs rarely address how an employer should manage the cost of vaccine purchase, administration, and recordkeeping requirements. Employers may find it difficult or impossible to implement a mandatory vaccination program without receiving financial support from public or private sources.

In general, states do not explicitly authorize employers to apply progressive discipline to HCWs who do not comply with the vaccination requirement. Without clearly defined sanctions, the effectiveness of the vaccination requirements is undermined, and employers may be unable to adequately enforce the policy.

Defining an exemption policy

Establishing an exemption policy is one of the most frequently debated components of a mandatory HCW influenza vaccination program. Most states permit HCWs to decline the vaccination for one or more of five reasons: (1) medical contraindication, (2) religious belief, (3) philosophical belief, (4) declination statement, or (5) inadequate vaccine supply.

States that permit HCWs to easily exercise non-medical exemptions may inadvertently incentivize

using exemptions over the seemingly more complicated process of obtaining an influenza vaccine. Therefore, legislators should permit exemptions only for HCWs who present a licensed health-care provider's written statement indicating the HCW has a condition contraindicated for immunization.

However, despite concerns regarding easily accessible opt-outs, most states permit HCWs to refuse the vaccination by signing a declination statement that indicates the receipt or refusal of the vaccination after receiving education, by showing the existence of a medical contraindication, by declaring that the vaccination conflicts with a religious belief, or when public health officials determine that a shortage of vaccine requires program suspension.

Defining HCW obligations

A comprehensive, mandatory vaccination law should explicitly outline expected duties so that all affected HCWs will understand how to comply with the law. The law should require HCWs to obtain an influenza vaccination either at work or from a provider of their choice, and present documentation to the employer that will certify either the receipt of vaccination or an approved exemption.

Most states require HCWs to present their employer with a Certificate of Immunization from the provider who administered the vaccine. However, states rarely expressly permit HCWs to receive the vaccination from a provider of his or her choice. The lack of clarity regarding provider choice may discourage HCWs from seeking the influenza vaccine.

Adopting an appropriate standard of care

The Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) are federal advisory committees that issue immunization recommendations. The HICPAC and ACIP jointly recommend that all HCWs should be vaccinated annually against influenza. They also encourage all health-care facilities to provide the vaccine to HCWs using evidence-based approaches.⁴⁰ The recommendations are recognized as the principal nationwide practice standard.^{41,42} Therefore, states should consider adopting the ACIP standard when developing a comprehensive mandatory vaccination law.

Most state laws that identify standards for influenza immunization have adopted the ACIP standard. Other states reference the recommendations of the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration or state commissioners of health.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY AND PRACTICE

The current policy environment suggests that states are prepared to expand immunization requirements for HCWs. The existing legal framework, grounded in ethical and professional principles, supports compulsory vaccination when it serves the public's health. As demonstrated in school and childcare entry requirements and mandates of other vaccines for HCWs, state laws, if fully implemented, will prove effective in increasing uptake of influenza vaccine among HCWs.

Twenty jurisdictions have already addressed the need to increase HCW vaccination through the enactment of mandatory policies. While the laws differ in how they incorporate the six elements of a comprehensive mandatory HCW influenza policy, they represent policy makers' best efforts to reduce hospital-acquired infections.

While revising or drafting state laws, policy makers might consider initiatives that (1) require every health-care employer operating in the state to participate in mandatory immunization, (2) identify strategies to help health-care employers purchase and distribute influenza vaccine to HCWs, (3) draft exemption policies that permit HCWs to opt out only for a medical contraindication, and (4) draft clear policies and procedures to address appropriate sanctions for non-compliant HCWs.

Alexandra Stewart is an Assistant Professor at the George Washington University Medical Center School of Public Health and Health Services in Washington, D.C.

Address correspondence to: Alexandra M. Stewart, JD, George Washington University, Department of Health Policy, 2021 K St. NW, Ste. 800, Washington, DC 20006; tel. 202-994-4141; e-mail <stewart@gwu.edu>.

REFERENCES

1. Babcock HM, Gemeinhart N, Jones M, Dunagan WC, Woeltje KF. Mandatory influenza vaccination of health care workers: translating policy to practice. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:459-64.
2. Wilde JA, McMillan JA, Serwint J, Butta J, O'Riordan MA, Steinhoff MC. Effectiveness of influenza in health care professionals: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 1999;281:908-13.
3. Tilburt JC, Mueller PS, Ottenberg AL, Poland GA, Koenig BA. Facing the challenges of influenza in healthcare settings: the ethical rationale for mandatory seasonal influenza vaccination and its implications for future pandemics. *Vaccine* 2008;26 Suppl 4:D27-30.
4. National Foundation for Infectious Diseases. Call to action: influenza immunization among health care personnel. Bethesda (MD): NFID; 2008. Also available from: URL: <http://www.nfid.org/publications> [cited 2011 Mar 15].
5. Anikeeva O, Braunack-Mayer A, Rogers W. Requiring influenza vaccination for health care workers. *Am J Public Health* 2009;99:24-9.
6. Influenza vaccine 1980-1981. Recommendation of the Public Health Service Immunization Practices Advisory Committee, Center for Disease Control, Department of Health and Human Services; Atlanta, Georgia. *Ann Intern Med* 1980;93:466-8.

7. Department of Health and Human Services (US). Healthy people 2020 topics and objectives index [cited 2011 Mar 15]. Available from: URL: <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/default.aspx>
8. Ofstead CL, Tucker SJ, Beebe TJ, Poland GA. Influenza vaccination among registered nurses: information receipt, knowledge, and decision-making at an institution with a multifaceted educational program. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:99-106.
9. Doratotaj S, Macknin ML, Worley S. A novel approach to improve influenza vaccination rates among health care professionals: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:301-3.
10. Lam PP, Chambers LW, McDougall DM, McCarthy AE. Seasonal influenza vaccination campaigns for health care personnel: systematic review. *CMAJ* 2010;182:E542-8.
11. Kimura AC, Nguyen CN, Higa JI, Hurwitz EL, Vugia DJ. The effectiveness of Vaccine Day and educational interventions on influenza vaccine coverage among health care workers at long-term care facilities. *Am J Public Health* 2007;97:684-90.
12. Gazmararian JA, Coleman M, Prill M, Hinman AR, Ribner BS, Washington ML, et al. Influenza vaccination of health care workers: policies and practices in a community setting. *Am J Infect Control* 2007;35:441-7.
13. Polgreen PM, Pottinger J, Polgreen L, Diekema DJ, Herwaldt LA. Influenza vaccination rates, feedback, and the Hawthorne effect. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;27:98-9.
14. Healthcare personnel influenza vaccination requirements. NY State Statute: Pub. Health L. §§2803, 3612, and 4010, Title 10.
15. Salgado CD, Gianetta ET, Hayden FG, Farr BM. Preventing nosocomial influenza by improving the vaccine acceptance rate of clinicians. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25:923-8.
16. Polgreen PM, Septimus EJ, Parry MF, Beekmann SE, Cavanaugh JE, Srinivasan A, et al. Relationship of influenza vaccination declination statements and influenza vaccination rates for healthcare workers in 22 US hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:675-7.
17. Ribner BS, Hall C, Steinberg JP, Bornstein WA, Chakkalakal R, Emamifard A, et al. Use of mandatory declination form in a program for influenza vaccination of healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:302-8.
18. Bertin M, Scarpelli M, Proctor AW, Sharp J, Robitson E, Donnelly T, et al. Novel use of the intranet to document health care personnel participation in a mandatory influenza vaccination reporting program. *Am J Infect Control* 2007;35:33-7.
19. Norton SP, Scheifele DW, Bettinger JA, West RM. Influenza vaccination in pediatric nurses: cross-sectional study of coverage, refusal, and factors in acceptance. *Vaccine* 2008;26:2942-8.
20. National Foundation for Infectious Diseases. Immunizing healthcare personnel against influenza: a report on best practices. Bethesda (MD): NFID; 2007. Also available from: URL: <http://www.nfid.org/HCWtoolkit/BestPracticesToolkitDocument.pdf> [cited 2011 Feb 21].
21. Polgreen PM, Polgreen LA, Evans T, Helms C. A statewide system for improving influenza vaccination rates in hospital employees. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;30:474-8.
22. Fiore AE, Shay DK, Haber P, Iskander JK, Uyeke TM, Mootrey G, et al. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2007. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2007;56(RR-06):1-54.
23. Toshi PK, Poland GA. Healthcare worker influenza immunization. *Medscape Infect Dis* 2007 Dec 21. Also available from: URL: <http://www.medscape.org/viewarticle/567336> [cited 2011 Mar 16].
24. Reedy AM. Fighting the flu: a vaccination program for healthcare workers. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2008;35:171-2.
25. Harper SA, Fukuda K, Uyeke TM, Cox NJ, Bridges CB. Prevention and control of influenza. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) [published erratum appears in *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2005;54(30):750]. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2005;54(RR-8):1-40.
26. Zimmerman RK, Nowalk MP, Lin CJ, Raymund M, Fox DE, Harper JD, et al. Factorial design for improving influenza vaccination among employees of a large health system. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;30:691-7.
27. Ohrt CK, McKinney WP. Achieving compliance with influenza immunization of medical house staff and students. A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 1992;267:1377-80.
28. Talbot TR, Dellit TH, Hebden J, Sama D, Cuny J. Factors associated with increased healthcare worker influenza vaccination rates: results from a national survey of university hospitals and medical centers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;31:456-62.
29. American Medical Directors Association. Survey of certified medical directors: long term care setting influenza education outreach activities. 2010 [cited 2011 Feb 24]. Available from: URL: http://www.amda.com/cmehdirect/webinars/CMD_Flu_Survey.pdf
30. Influenza vaccination coverage among health-care personnel—United States, 2010–11 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011;60(32):1073-7.
31. Hofmann F, Ferracin C, Marsh G, Dumas R. Influenza vaccination of healthcare workers: a literature review of attitudes and beliefs. *Infection* 2006;34:142-7.
32. Department of Health and Human Services (US). HHS action plan to prevent healthcare-associated infections: influenza vaccination of healthcare personnel [cited 2011 May 3]. Available from: URL: http://www.hhs.gov/ash/initiatives/hai/tier2_flu.html
33. Immunization Action Coalition. Honor roll for patient safety: mandatory influenza vaccination policies for healthcare workers [cited 2011 Aug 29]. Available from: URL: <http://www.immunize.org/honor-roll>
34. Keller DM. Hospital reaches near 100% flu vaccination rate of staff with mandate. *Medscape Medical News* 2010 Nov 8. Also available from: URL: <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/732085> [cited 2011 Feb 17].
35. Loyola Medicine. Vaccination for seasonal flu is now mandatory for Loyola employees [press release]; 2009 Sep 2 [cited 2011 Feb 17]. Available from: URL: http://www.loyolamedicine.org/News/News_Releases/news_release_detail.cfm?var_news_release_id=973441018
36. Belote B. St. John's gives nearly all of its workers the flu shot. *KSPR* 2011 Feb 21.
37. Karanfil LV, Bahner J, Hovatter J, Thomas WL. Championing patient safety through mandatory influenza vaccination for all healthcare personnel and affiliated physicians. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;32:375-9.
38. Feemster KA, Prasad P, Smith MJ, Feudtner C, Caplan A, Offit P, et al. Employee designation and health care worker support of an influenza vaccine mandate at a large pediatric tertiary care hospital. *Vaccine* 2011;29:1762-9.
39. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (US). Career guide to industries, 2010–11 edition: healthcare [cited 2011 Sep 8]. Available from: URL: <http://www.bls.gov/oco/cg/cgs035.htm>
40. Pearson ML, Bridges CB, Harper SA; Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC); Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). Influenza vaccination of health-care personnel: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2006;55(RR-2):1-16.
41. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US). Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP): about ACIP [cited 2011 May 24]. Available from: URL: <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/recs/acip/#about>
42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US). Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) [cited 2011 May 24]. Available from: URL: <http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac>